

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,876	03/15/2001	Brian Bunyan	KELL-0064	5269	
75	90 08/25/2004	EXAMINER			
John P. Donoh	iue, Jr.	GRAHAM, CLEMENT B			
Woodcock Was					
Mackiewicz & 1	Norris LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Liberty Pla	ce - 46th Floor	3628	3628		
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/808,	876	BUNYAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit					
			B Graham	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ays, a reply within the story period will apply and, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron oplication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>15 March 200</i> °	1.						
·	,	This action is	-						
3)□	·								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by		- · · · ·						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage				
Attachmen	•		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	049)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I		152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows: 1.

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts. In the present case, claim 1, does not recite any structure or functionality to suggest that a computer performs the recited claim.

Thus, claim 1, is rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks U.S. Patent No. 5, 974, 407 in view of Axelrod et al(Hereinafter Axelrod U.S. Patent No.
- 4, 862, 386).

As per claim 1, Sacks discloses a margin determination unit for a transaction system of a supplier, the margin determination unit comprising: a margin table memory for storing a plurality of tables, each table having a plurality of rows, a table selector for selecting the tables in sequence and comparator for comparing quantities specified.

Page 3

(Note abstract and see column 6 lines 10-20 and 13 lines 50-65 and column 15 line 65 and column 16 line 5).

Sacks fail to explicitly teach a comparator by successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in a transaction request from a client/user, a calculation unit for calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, with said table selector selecting the next table if any comparison is bad. However Axelrod discloses computer means coupled to said input means and including a plurality of memory locations, said computer means further including: means coupled to said printing means and responsive to said letter data signal for identifying each of said information parts; means for converting said identified information parts of said letter data into a plurality of data parts; means for storing each of said data parts into separate memory locations; processing means including stored sequence data and stored location data corresponding to respective ones of said identified information parts; means coupled to said processing means and responsive to said sequence data and said location data for retrieving from said means for storing at least one of said data parts corresponding to an identified information part in accordance with said sequence and location of said information part, said computer means coupled to said printing means to print on said stationery item in proper sequence and location retrieved information part corresponding to a selected data part. (see column 49 lines 25-45 and column 40 lines 5-15) and calculating or utilizing a look up table for determining, or otherwise providing the postage needed for mailing the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and providing instructions for selecting the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and printing the reformatted letter data. Other steps that may be included in the reformatting step without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, including for example those hereinbefore discussed in connection discussing the programs of the computer and those discussed throughout this application. Thereafter, the routine causes a determination to be made as to whether or not the letter being processed is to be included in a manifest mail run or batch, step, which information may be included for example as a code associated with the letter data for flagging the letter as such, or may be provided from the local input terminal hereinbefore discussed.

Assuming the letter is not to be included as one in a manifest mail run or batch, then, the letter data is augmented by the postage marking data that is to be used, step 816, including data corresponding to a given postage manufacturers graphic information, or a permit mailers serial number, is added to the reformatted letter data. (see column 27 lines 40-65 and column 28 line 5-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sacks to include comparator, successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in a transaction request from a client/user, a calculation unit for calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, with said table selector selecting the next table if any comparison is bad taught by Axelrod in order for a computer controlled system for generating, transmitting and printing of formal business letters including letter mail.

As per claim 2, Sacks discloses, further comprising a table editor for adding new tables, deleting tables, amending tables, and rearranging the sequence of the tables. (Note abstract see column 28 lines 5-25) interpretive as claimed).

As per claim 3, Sacks discloses, wherein the tables include rows containing entries selected from the table name, transaction type, client details, transaction size, transaction currency, instrument type, time period(s), and margin type and amount.(see column 29 lines 30-35 interpretive as claimed).

As per claim 4, Sacks and Axelrod fail to explicitly teach further comprising a conflict determination unit for determining whether a table in the table memory is in conflict with an internal rule specified in a rule set.

However determining if table memory is in conflict with an internal rule specified in a rule set is old and well known in the art because it prevents duplicating data.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Sacks and Axelrod include table memory is in conflict with an internal rule specified in a rule set because it prevents duplicating data.

As per claims 5-6, Sacks and Axelrod fail to explicitly teach, wherein said tables are ordered in the table memory and wherein said internal rule is a rule defining the ordering of the tables within the memory according to the information contained therein. However it is common for all tables in memory to be stored in one format or the other and they are rules that applies to storing of data in a database in order to prevent duplicating data.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sacks and Axelrod wherein said tables are ordered in the table memory and wherein said internal rule is a rule defining the ordering of the tables within the memory according to the information contained therein because it is common for all tables in memory to be stored in one format or the other and they are rules that applies to storing of data in a database in order to prevent duplicating data.

As per claim 7, Sacks and Axelrod fail to explicitly teach wherein said internal rule is a rule defining the permitted information which may be contained within said tables based on the capabilities of said transaction system.

As per claim 8, Sacks discloses a quoting processor comprising a margin determination unit, the margin determination unit comprising a margin table memory for storing a plurality of tables, each table having a plurality of rows, a table selector for selecting the tables in

sequence, comparing quantities specified. .(Note abstract and see column 6 lines 10-20 and 13 lines 50-65 and column 15 line 65 and column 16 line 5).

Sacks fail to teach comparator successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in a transaction request from a client/user, a calculation unit for calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, with said table selector selecting the next table if any comparison is bad. However Axelrod discloses computer means coupled to said input means and including a plurality of memory locations, said computer means further including: means coupled to said printing means and responsive to said letter data signal for identifying each of said information parts; means for converting said identified information parts of said

letter data into a plurality of data parts; means for storing each of said data parts into separate memory locations; processing means including stored sequence data and stored location data corresponding to respective ones of said identified information parts; means coupled to said processing means and responsive to said sequence data and said location data for retrieving from said means for storing at least one of said data parts corresponding to an identified information part in accordance with said sequence and location of said information part, said computer means coupled to said printing means to print on said stationery item in proper sequence and location retrieved information part corresponding to a selected data part. (see column 49 lines 25-45 and column 40 lines 5-15) and calculating or utilizing a look up table for determining, or otherwise providing the postage needed for mailing the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and providing instructions for selecting the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and printing the reformatted letter data. Other steps that may be included in the reformatting step without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. including for example those hereinbefore discussed in connection discussing the programs of the computer and those discussed throughout this application. Thereafter, the routine causes a determination to be made as to whether or not the letter being processed is to be included in a manifest mail run or batch, step, which information may be included for example as a code associated with the letter data for flagging the letter as such, or may be provided from the local input terminal hereinbefore discussed. Assuming the letter is not to be included as one in a manifest mail run or batch, then, the letter data is augmented by the postage marking data that is to be used, step 816, including data corresponding to a given postage manufacturers graphic information, or a permit mailers serial number, is added to the reformatted letter data. (see column 27 lines 40-65 and column 28 line 5-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sacks to include successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in said transaction request, calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, or selecting a further table if any comparison is bad taught by Axelrod in order for a computer

Application/Control Number: 09/808,876

Art Unit: 3628

controlled system for generating, transmitting and printing of formal business letters including letter mail.

As per claim 9, Sacks discloses a financial transaction system comprising a margin determination unit, the margin determination unit comprising: a margin table memory for storing a plurality of tables, each table having a plurality of rows, a table selector for selecting the tables in sequence, a comparator for comparing quantities specified.(Note abstract and see column 6 lines 10-20 and 13 lines 50-65 and column 15 line 65 and column 16 line 5).

Sacks fail to explicitly teach successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in a transaction request from a client/user, a calculation unit for calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, with said table selector selecting the next table if any comparison is bad.

However Axelrod discloses computer means coupled to said input means and including a plurality of memory locations, said computer means further including: means coupled to said printing means and responsive to said letter data signal for identifying each of said information parts; means for converting said identified information parts of said letter data into a plurality of data parts; means for storing each of said data parts into separate memory locations; processing means including stored sequence data and stored location data corresponding to respective ones of said identified information parts; means coupled to said processing means and responsive to said sequence data and said location data for retrieving from said means for storing at least one of said data parts corresponding to an identified information part in accordance with said sequence and location of said information part, said computer means coupled to said printing means to print on said stationery item in proper sequence and location retrieved information part corresponding to a selected data part. (see column 49 lines 25-45 and column 40 lines 5-15) and calculating or utilizing a look up table for determining, or otherwise providing the postage needed for mailing the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and providing instructions for selecting the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and printing the reformatted letter data. Other steps that may be included in the reformatting step without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention,

including for example those hereinbefore discussed in connection discussing the programs of the computer and those discussed throughout this application. Thereafter, the routine causes a determination to be made as to whether or not the letter being processed is to be included in a manifest mail run or batch, step, which information may be included for example as a code associated with the letter data for flagging the letter as such, or may be provided from the local input terminal hereinbefore discussed. Assuming the letter is not to be included as one in a manifest mail run or batch, then, the letter data is augmented by the postage marking data that is to be used, step 816, including data corresponding to a given postage manufacturers graphic information, or a permit mailers serial number, is added to the reformatted letter data. (see column 27 lines 40-65 and column 28 line 5-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sacks to include successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in said transaction request, calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, or selecting a further table if any comparison is bad taught by Axelrod in order for a computer controlled system for generating, transmitting and printing of formal business letters including letter mail.

As per claims 10-11, Sacks discloses a method of determining a margin in a transaction comprising the steps of:

receiving a transaction request from a client/user,

selecting a table from a stored set of tables, each table having a plurality of rows, comparing quantities specified .(Note abstract and see column 6 lines 10-20 and 13 lines 50-65 and column 15 line 65 and column 16 line 5).

Sacks fail to explicitly teach successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in said transaction request, calculating a margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, or selecting a further table if any comparison is bad.

However Axelrod discloses computer means coupled to said input means and including a plurality of memory locations, said computer means further including: means coupled

to said printing means and responsive to said letter data signal for identifying each of said information parts; means for converting said identified information parts of said letter data into a plurality of data parts; means for storing each of said data parts into separate memory locations; processing means including stored sequence data and stored location data corresponding to respective ones of said identified information parts; means coupled to said processing means and responsive to said sequence data and said location data for retrieving from said means for storing at least one of said data parts corresponding to an identified information part in accordance with said sequence and location of said information part, said computer means coupled to said printing means to print on said stationery item in proper sequence and location retrieved information part corresponding to a selected data part. (see column 49 lines 25-45 and column 40 lines 5-15) and calculating or utilizing a look up table for determining, or otherwise providing the postage needed for mailing the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and providing instructions for selecting the identified stationery items and inserts, if any, and printing the reformatted letter data. Other steps that may be included in the reformatting step without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, including for example those hereinbefore discussed in connection discussing the programs of the computer and those discussed throughout this application. Thereafter, the routine causes a determination to be made as to whether or not the letter being processed is to be included in a manifest mail run or batch, step, which information may be included for example as a code associated with the letter data for flagging the letter as such, or may be provided from the local input terminal hereinbefore discussed. Assuming the letter is not to be included as one in a manifest mail run or batch, then, the letter data is augmented by the postage marking data that is to be used, step 816, including data corresponding to a given postage manufacturers graphic information, or a permit mailers serial number, is added to the reformatted letter data. (see column 27 lines 40-65 and column 28 line 5-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Sacks to include successive rows of the selected table with corresponding quantities in said transaction request, calculating a

margin under control of information in the table if all comparisons are good, or selecting a further table if any comparison is bad taught by Axelrod in order for a computer controlled system for generating, transmitting and printing of formal business letters including letter mail.

Conclusion

 The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicants disclosure.

Moshal et al (US 6, 631,361 Patent) teaches method and apparatus for providing explanations of automated decisions applied to user data.

Shavit Eyal (US Patent 4, 799, 156) teaches interactive market management systems.

Borgato Sergio (US Patent 5,950, 178) teaches data processing system and method for falicitating transaction in diamonds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 703-305-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-0040 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

FRANTZY POINVIL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CG

August 18, 2004